



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10/020,558 12/14/2001 Sol P. DiJaili 21153-05927 8601 22913 **EXAMINER** 7590 03/10/2004 WORKMAN NYDEGGER (F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & NGUYEN, PHILLIP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE** 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER 2828 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>@</i> \8
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/020,558	DIJAILI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phillip Nguyen	2828
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Dec 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 5-16 is/are pending in the appliance of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 5-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	wn from consideration.	PAUL IP
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800
<u> </u>	. P	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appl rity documents have been red u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5 & 5/6/2002. 	Paper No(s)/M	finally (F10-413) fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant is requested to resubmit Form PTO-1449 in IDS filed on 7/5/2002 because it is found missing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 5-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites "the amplifier input and ballast laser output of the LSOA coupled between the first digital input and the digital output" which is not clear because it fails to support a structure of an optical logic gate. The claim also fails to provide a structure of the semiconductor laser with a ballast output.

Claims 5, 8, and 11 fail to provide the structure of an optical NOT gate.

With respect to claims 6-7 and 9-10, it has been held that the functional "whereby" statement does not define any structure and accordingly cannot serve to distinguish. *In re Mason*, 114 USPQ 127, 44 CCPA 937 (1957).

Application/Control Number: 10/020,558 Page 3

Art Unit: 2828

Claim 12 recites in lines 10 and 13 "a ballast laser from the ballast laser output" which is not clear which ballast laser output from two recited LSOA. The claim fails to further narrow the limitation by reciting the end result of the device such as function of the NAND gate.

Similarly to claim 12, claim 13 fails to further narrow the limitation by reciting the end result of the device instead of providing more specific structure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 5-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Song ('112).

With respect to claims 1 and 5, Song discloses in Figure 6 an NOT optical logic gate 30 which includes an digital input 31, a digital output 33, and semiconductor optical amplifier which inherently includes a ballast output.

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claim 6, Song discloses the claimed invention as shown in rejection of claims 1 and 5, and further the first digital input being coupled to the amplifier and the ballast laser output is coupled to the digital output as shown in Figure 5 also.

With respect to claims 7 and 10, it is inherent that all lasers have a threshold.

With respect to claim 8, Song discloses in Figure 5 an NOR optical logic gate which includes first digital input 41 and a second digital input 32.

With respect to claim 9, Song discloses in Figure 4 a combiner having 2 inputs 24a and 21 coupled to first digital input and second digital input, and the output 25a coupled to the amplifier input 25 of the LSOA; wherein in ballast output 27 of LSOA of the optical gate.

With respect to claim 11, Song also discloses the optical logic device being configured to NAND gate and further comprising a second digital input for receiving a second digital input (X/Y) in Figure 8.

With respect to claims 12 and 13, the claim recites the result of each LSOA such as NOT gates or inverters being configured with another optical component which claimed "combiner" as an NAND gate. Song discloses the NOT gate and NAND gate in Figures 6 and 8.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,558 Page 5

Art Unit: 2828

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song in

view of DijJaili et al. ('104). Song discloses the claimed invention except for the LSOA being a

vertical LSOA, traverse LSOA, or longitudinal LSOA. DijJaili discloses all of the claimed

elements from LSOA. For the improvement of the optical logic device, it would have been

obvious to the one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a

VLSOA, TLSOA, LLSOA for LSOA as taught by DijJaili.

Citation of Pertinent References

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

The publication to Song discloses N-Valued Optical Logic Architecture and Method,

U.S. Application Publication No. 2002/0001112

The patent to Dijaili et al. discloses Optical Signal Power Monitor and Regulation, U.S.

Patent No. 6347104

Art Unit: 2828

Communication Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1947. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, PAUL IP can be reached on 571-272-1241. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

pn

AU 2828

PAUL IP
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800